# Multiple Fidel Scalar-Tensor Theories of Gravity and Cosmology

ANDREW L. BERKIN (\*)
and RONALD W. HELLINGS (\*\*)

Jet Propulsion Laboratory California astitute of Technology 4800 Oak Glove Drive Pasadena, CA 91109

#### Abstract

We consider multiple scalar fields coupled to gravity, with special attention given to two-field theories. First, the conditions necessary for these theories to meet solar system tests are given. Next, we investigate the cosmological evolution of the fields to see if these conditions can be met. Solutions are found in the dust era, as well as radiation and cosmological constant dominated epochs. The possibility of inflation in these theories is discussed. While power law growth of the scalar fields can yield the appropriate conditions to meet solar system constraints, these solutions are unstable.

October, 1993

<sup>(\*)</sup> Email: berkin@krazy.jpl. nasa.gov (\*\*) Email: rwh@grouch.jpl.nasa.gov

#### 1 Introduction

Scalar-tensor (ST) theories are alternative models of gravity which provide a theoretical framework within which general relativity (GR) may be tested. Many important tests so far have used the post-Newtonian approximation, and have relied upon corrections to dynamics in the solar system. Such tests force ST theories to limits where they greatly resemble GR. For example, the current limit on Brans-Dicke theories[1] requires  $\omega > 500$ [2], where  $\omega \to \infty$  recovers GR and  $\omega \approx 1$  would be a natural value to expect a priori.

However, despite the strong resemblance to GR in our solar system, gravity may be radically different in other regimes. The gravitational field in our solar system is weak, severely limiting the parameter space of gravity tested. Theories which differ from GR in strong gravitational fields, but agree with solar system constraints, are needed to further test GR. Recently, a class of ST theories with multiple scalar fields has been propose d[3]. These theories can satisfy the solar system criteria to arbitrary accuracy, but still diverge from GR in other limits, for example in the strong field regime around binary pulsars. Tbus, such theories provide an important test of GR in a previously sparsely tested regime.

Aside from their importance as generalizations of standard ST theories, multiple scalar field theories have a second motivation. Such additional scalars coupled to gravity appear in Kaluza-Klein[4] and string theories[5] which seek to unify gravity with other forces. Tests of the correctness of GR thus provide constraints on such theories. Furthermore, S'1' theories combined with Grand Unified Theories can provide adjustments to inflationary models of the early universe[6] which allow the phase transition to complete in old inflation [7] and remove the fine-tuning of new and chaotic models[8]. The inflationary universe not only solves several longstanding cosmological problems, but also provides the only currently known source of seed density fluctuations which obey the magnitude and spectrum of the microwave background found by COBE[9]. While these '(extended)' versions of old inflation are severely constrained by the solar system tests[1 O], multiple ST models may offer successful conditions for inflation which still obey all observational tests. Thus, we seek the viability of inflation in multiple field models where the solar system constraints may be avoided.

1

In this paper we consider a particular set of multiple scalar S'1' theories which are a straightforward generalization of nonminimally coupled models. Our starting action will be different from those used previously[3], where the action was taken after a conformal transformation had been made so that the gravity sector appeared normal. The one-field nonminimally coupled model is related to the JBD theory by a redefinition of the scalar field. We initially consider a model with an arbitrary number N of scalar fields, and derive the conditions necessary to meet the solar system constraints, These conditions depend on the asymptotic values of the fields far from the solar system. Previous work used the ansatz that these values were O, which dots indeed satisfy all equations. However, the actual values of these fields should be set by their cosmological evolution.

In order to study their cosmological evolution, we first find a generic set of solutions which exhibit power law behavior, and give exact solutions in the dust, radiation, and cosmological constant dominated eras, Next, we specialize to a two-field model, which elucidates the main features of the behavior, The stability of these solutions in time is then examined, and small deviations are found to diverge from the exact power law behavior as the universe expands. Hence, while solutions of multiple field ST theories are possible which meet the solar system constraints and field equations for cosmological evolution, they are unstable. This finding calls into question the ability of these models to provide a true test of GR. We close with comments on the possibilities of success of these theories and their potential role in inflation.

## 2 N Field Models

We begin by considering the action

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( f(\phi)R - G_{AB}g^{\alpha\beta}\phi_{A,\alpha}\phi_{B,\beta} - 2U(\phi) + 16\pi L_m \right), \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\phi$  is an N component scalar field whose individual components will be denoted with capital Roman letters, U is a potential,  $G_{AB}$  describes the kinetic coupling of the fields, and  $L_m$  is the Lagrangian for other matter. All other conventions are identical to those of Misner, Thorne and Wheeler[11].  $f(\phi) = G \equiv 1/\kappa^2$ , where G is Newton's constant, recovers GR with

scalar fields, while  $f(\phi) = \xi \phi^2$  with  $\phi$  a singlet is the standard nonminimally coupled model. Making the field redefinition  $\Phi = \xi \phi^2$  for singlet  $\phi$  places the action into standard Brans-Dicke form.

Varying this action with respect to the metric gives the gravitational field equations

$$\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\left(-fR + G_{AB}g^{\alpha\beta}\phi_{A,\alpha}\phi_{B,\beta} + 2U\right) - f_{;\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu}\Box f + fR_{\mu\nu} - G_{AB}\phi_{A,\mu}\phi_{B,\nu} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.2)$$

with  $T_{\mu\nu}$  the energy-momentum tensor corresponding to  $L_m$ . The trace of this equation is

$$-fR + G_{AB}g^{\alpha\beta}\phi_{A,\alpha}\phi_{B,\beta} + 4U + 3\Box f = 8\pi T. \tag{2.3}$$

Varying with respect to  $\phi$  gives the scalar field equations

$$f_A R + 2G_{AB} \Box \phi_B - 2U_A = 0,$$
 (2.4)

with a subscript A referring to the partial derivative  $\partial/\partial\phi_A$ . We first examine the solar system constraints before moving to the cosmological evolution of these fields.

# 2.1 solar system constraints

To lowest order in the post-Newtonian approximation, the metric around a body of mass M in the solar system is [1 1]

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)dt^{2} + \left(1 + 2\gamma \frac{M}{r}\right)\left[dx^{2} + dy^{2} + dz^{2}\right],\tag{2.5}$$

where  $\gamma = 1$  recovers the value in GR. in the JBD theory,  $\gamma = 1 + w/(2 + \omega)$  and current tests from the Viking lander give  $\gamma > 500[2]$ .

We will use the (00) and trace equations to determine the expression for  $\gamma$  in the theory of equation (2.1). Note that

$$\Box f = g^{\alpha\beta} (f_{AB}\phi_{A,\alpha}\phi_{B,\beta} + f_A\phi_{A;\alpha\beta}) \approx f_A \Box \phi_A, \tag{2.6}$$

where summation over A and B is implied, To obtain this last approximation, we removed the first term by using the fact that  $\nabla \phi = \mathcal{O}(M)$  and keeping only lowest order in M. '1'hen, making use of equation (2.4), we find

$$\Box f = -\frac{1}{2}G_{AB}^{-1}f_Af_BR + U_Af_BG_{AB}^{-1}.$$
 (2.7)

The matter source for the solar system bodies will be taken to be pressureless dust, with delta function stress-energy terms. Making use of the representation of the delta function by  $V^2(1/r)$ , we therefore write

$$T_{00} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla^2 \frac{M}{(r)}, \qquad T_{ij} = 0, \qquad T = \frac{1}{4\pi} \nabla^2 \frac{M}{(r)}.$$
 2.8)

Again, to lowest order, the necessary curvature components arc

$$R_{00} = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 g_{00} = -V_{(r)}^2 \frac{M}{r}, \qquad R = 2(1-2\gamma)\nabla^2 \frac{M}{(r)}$$
 2.9)

The (00) equation then implies

$$-(f + f_A G_{AB}^{-1} f_B)(1 - 2\gamma) \nabla^2 \left(\frac{M}{r}\right) + f \nabla^2 \left(\frac{M}{r}\right) + U + U_A G_{AB}^{-1} f_B = 2\nabla^2 \left(\frac{GM}{r}\right), \quad (2.10)$$

where G is Newton)s constant. If we take the case U = O, which we will consider throughout the rest of this paper, and define

$$C \equiv f_A G_{AB}^{-1} f_B, \tag{2.11}$$

then we find

$$C - 2\gamma(f + C) = -2G. \tag{2.12}$$

Similarly, the trace equation yields

$$(2f + 3C)(1 - 27) = -2G. (2.13)$$

Combining these two equations then gives

$$\gamma = \frac{f + C}{f + 2C}.\tag{2.14}$$

This theory will be identical to GR for solar system tests if  $\gamma = 1$ , which then implies

$$C \equiv f_A G_{AB}^{-1} f_B = 0. (2.15)$$

As long as the coupling satisfies this last relationship, multiple ST theories can exactly replicate GR in the solar system without being identical to GR. However, theories which satisfy (2.15) may still be quite different from GR in other gravitational regimes. This behavior is in contrast to single field ST gravity, where the solar system constraints force the entire theory to be indistinguishable from GR.

Of course,  $\gamma$  need not be exactly unity, but need only satisfy the current experimental limits. Indeed, nature may dictate that  $\gamma$  is not 1 but only some value close to 1, in which case GR would fail. If this should be the case, both single and multiple S'1' theories could meet the new constrain with a C that is a small but nonzero value, while higher order effects would cause a larger discrepancy with GR in other regimes,

Whether the condition of equation (2.15) can be met depends on the values of the scalar fields, Indeed, if C = 0, then equations (2.12) and (2.13) demand that f be equal to the gravitational constant, setting another condition. Setting the background value of the fields to zero certainly meets these conditions, however, these values are actually determined by the cosmological evolution of  $\phi$ , to which we next turn our attention.

# 2.2 cosmological evolution

For cosmology, we use a spatially flat Robertson-Walker universe, with metric given by

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + a^{2}(t) \left( dx^{2} + dy^{2} + dz^{2} \right), \qquad (2.16)$$

where a(t) is the time dependent scale factor. From the (00) component of the gravitational field equations (2.2), we get

$$3H^2f - \frac{1}{2}G_{AB}\dot{\phi}_A\dot{\phi}_B + 3H\dot{f} - U = 8\pi\rho, \tag{2.17}$$

where  $H \equiv \dot{a}/a$  is the Hubble parameter, p is the energy density, and an overdot indicates differentiation with respect to time, The trace equation gives

$$f(6\dot{H} + 12H^2) + G_{AB}\dot{\phi}_A\dot{\phi}_B - 4U + 3\ddot{f} + 9H\dot{f} = 8\pi(\rho - 3P), \tag{2.18}$$

where P is the pressure of matter, which is assumed to be a perfect fluid. Finally, the scalar field equations, (2.4), yield

$$\Box \phi_A = -\frac{1}{2} G_{AB}^{-1} f_B R + U_B G_{AB}^{-1} = 0, \tag{2.19}$$

with the Ri cci scalar given by

$$R = 6\dot{H} + 12H^2 \tag{2.20}$$

Onc equation of the set (2.17) - (2.19) is extraneous due to the Symmetries of the spacetime and the contracted Bianchi identities.

dust era

in the current dust dominated universe, with U=0, the constraint for the solar system (2,1.5) must be satisfied. Contracting equation (2.19) with  $f_A$  gives

$$f_A \Box \phi_A = -\frac{1}{2} f_A G_{AB}^{-1} f_B R = 0, \tag{2.21}$$

a rather strong constraint on the behavior of the scalar fields in a dust universe. The limits imposed by the null summation (2.21) will be more obvious when we consider a two field case in the next section.

To better understand this constraint, we consider particular choices for  $f(\phi)$  of the form

$$f(\phi) = f_c + F_{AB}\phi_A\phi_B, \qquad (2.22)$$

with  $f_c$  and  $F_{AB}$  constant. This model is a straightforward generalization of the standard one field nonminimal coupling. There are no added dimensional coupling constants. Only  $f_c$ , which corresponds to a modified gravitational constant, has dimensions,

Because these equations are nonlinear, general solutions will be difficult, if not impossible, to obtain. We therefore look for power law solutions, by making the ansatz

$$a(t) = a_c \frac{t}{t_c}, \qquad \phi_A = b_A \left(\frac{t}{t_c}\right)^q, \qquad (2.23)$$

where  $a_c, t_c, b_A, p$ , and g are all constants. For GR, we know that the cosmological expansion is power law, with p being 2/3 and 1/2 in the dust and radiation regimes, respectively. Although this power law assumption restricts the generality of our solutions, the problem dots become tractable. The dependence of the solar system constraints upon cosmological evolution is manifested, a feature missing from just a constant  $\phi$  solution.

With the above simplifications, equation (2.2 I ) gives

$$F_{AB}b_{A}b_{B}\frac{t^{2q-2}}{t_{c}^{2q}}(q^{2}-q+3pq)=0. (2.24)$$

This equation is solved either by q = O, q = 1 - 3p, or  $F_{AB}b_Ab_B = O$ . The last condition causes f to be constant,  $f = f_c = G$ . The gravitational constant clocs not evolve. The first condition,

q = 0, corresponds to constant fields, while the middle condition relates the evolution of the fields to that of the scale factor.

We now examine the scalar field equations, (2.19), which imply

$$b_A(q^2 + q + 3pq) = G_{AB}^{-1} F_{BC} b_C (-6p + 12p^2).$$
 (2.25)

If q equals either O or 1-3p, then the left hand side must be O, giving p=0 or p=1/2. The first case corresponds to a static universe, in conflict with observation, and will be ignored. We thus retain only p=1/2.

Next consider the (00) equation, which for power law behavior becomes

$$\frac{3p^2}{t^2} \left[ f_c + F_d \left( \frac{t}{t_c} \right)^{2q} \right] - \frac{q^2 G_d}{2t^2} \left( \frac{t}{t_c} \right)^{2q} + \frac{6pqF_d}{t^2} \left( \frac{t}{t_c} \right)^{2q} = \frac{8\pi \rho_d}{a_c^3} \left( \frac{t}{t_c} \right)^{-3p}, \quad (2.26)$$

with the definitions

$$F_d \equiv F_{AB}b_Ab_B, \qquad G_d \equiv G_{AB}b_Ab_B \tag{2.27}$$

in the dust era. As noted from equation (2.25), if q = 0 or q = 1 - 3p, then p = 1/2. However, plugging these values into equation (2.26) shows that the (00) equation cannot be solved, because the powers of time will not balance. Therefore, of the three possible solutions to equation (2,24), only the last one,  $F_d = 0$ , has the possibility of being consistent with both an expanding universe and the other field equations.

Now, equation (2.25) implies that

$$G_d = \frac{-6\eta + 12p^2}{q^2 - q} \frac{1}{i} \frac{3pq}{q} F_d = 0.$$
 (2.28)

Using the fact that both  $F_d$  and  $G_d$  are zero, the (00) equation simplifies to

$$\frac{3p^2}{\kappa^2 t^2} = \frac{8\pi \rho_d}{a_c^3} \left(\frac{t}{t_c}\right)^{-3p},\tag{2.29}$$

identical with the GR case. Thus, because of the cancellation necessary to meet the solar system constraint (2. 15), the dust dominated universe resembles GR in all cosmological aspects. However, this dots not mean that other cosmologies, such as a radiation dominated universe, necessarily resemble GR, as we shall see below.

# radiation era

For a radiation dominated universe the energy density is given by

$$\rho(t) = \rho_r a^4(t), \qquad (2.30)$$

with  $\rho_r$  a constant, and P = p/3, Since the current universe is not radiation dominated, the solar system constraint, equation (2.15), need not apply. Because the stress energy tensor for radiation is traceless, the trace equation,

$$\left[\frac{1}{\kappa^2} + F_r \left(\frac{t}{t_r}\right)^{2q}\right] \frac{(-6p + 12p^2)}{t^2} + \frac{q^2 G_r}{t^2} \left(\frac{t}{t_r}\right)^{2q} + \frac{6q(2q - 1)F_r}{t^2} \left(\frac{t}{t_r}\right)^{2q} + \frac{18pqF_r}{t^2} \left(\frac{t}{t_r}\right)^{2q} = 0,$$
(2.31)

is most convenient to consider first. Here  $t_r$  is a constant, and  $F_r$  and  $F_r$  and  $F_r$  correspond to the quantities (2.27) in the radiation era.

Balancing the powers of time then requires that p = 1/2, exactly as in the standard GR case. With this value, the first term in equation (2.31) vanishes, and the resulting time independent part is solved by

$$q = 0, \quad \frac{-3F_r}{G_r + 12F_r}.$$
 (2,32)

Wc then plug this result into the (00) equation, which is identical with equation (2,26) except with a right hand side

$$\frac{8\pi \rho_r}{a_r^4} \frac{t}{(t_c)}^{-4p}. \tag{2.33}$$

If q = O, then the fields do not evolve, and

$$\frac{3}{4}(\frac{1}{\kappa^2} + F_r) = \frac{8\pi\rho_r}{a_r^4}t_r^2. \tag{2.34}$$

This is similar to the Einstein GR case, except that the gravitational constant is shifted by  $F_r$ .

When  $q = -3F_r/(G_r + 12F_r)$ , the (00) equation that results is solved by any one of three conditions, These are

$$F_r = 0 \Rightarrow q = 0$$

$$G_r = 0 \Rightarrow q = -\frac{1}{4}$$

$$G_r = -6F_r \Rightarrow q = -\frac{1}{2}.$$
(2,35)

This first case just reproduces the q = 0 case above. The latter two conditions cause cancellations such that

$$\frac{3}{4\kappa^2} = \frac{8\pi \rho_r}{a_r^4} t_r^2,\tag{2.36}$$

which is exactly the same as in GR. All of the above solutions also satisfy the scalar field equation.

Much as with the dust case, hese solutions strongly resemble GR, due to cancellations of the scalar field terms. If the scalars are constant during the radiation era, the gravitational constant may be shifted. This may have observable consequences on nucleos ynthesis, for example. Deviations from exact Robertson-Walker behavior, caused by primordial black holes or density fluctuations, could also lead to regimes where the scalar fields play a more dynamic role.

## cosmological constant era

When the universe is dominated by a cosmological constant, the matter is given by

$$\rho = \rho_0 = \text{constant}, \qquad r' = -p. \tag{2.37}$$

Such a situation arises, for example, in the inflationary universe[6], where  $\rho_0$  is the energy density of a scalar field either trapped in a false minimum or slowly rolling down a potential. The (00) equation again is similar to (2.26), except that the matter side is now given by  $8\pi\rho_0$ , and  $F_d$  and  $G_d$  are replaced with the appropriate  $F_0$  and  $F_0$  and  $F_0$  in this regime. Examining the powers of time, there are three, namely -2, 2q-2, and  $F_0$ . Unlike the previous matter conditions, where the right hand side had  $F_0$  dependence, these three powers cannot in general be matched. However, we may find approximate solutions to the general case by assuming that one of the two terms dominates gravity.

If the fields are small, then the gravitational constant may dominate the field contribution,  $1/\kappa^2 >> F_{AB}\phi_A\phi_B$ . Neglecting terms involving the  $\phi$  then gives the standard GR. equation

$$3H^2 = 8\pi\kappa^2 \rho_0, \tag{2.38}$$

which is solved by

$$a(t) = a_0 \operatorname{Cxp} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{8}{3} \pi \kappa^2 \rho_0 (t - t_0)} \right].$$
 (2.39)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> There is one exception. An exact solution can be found if the terms of power 2q-2 in the (00) equation cancel. In this case, equation (2.38) is again valid, and exponential solutions for the scale factor a(t) and the  $\phi$  arc found. By using the (00) and  $\phi$  equations, these conditions can all consistently be met if  $G_0 = -4F_0$  and  $q = H(-3 \pm \sqrt{3})/2$ . However, this exact solution is really a special case of our first approximation, (2.38) - (2.41), when the terms ignored exactly cancel.

This exponential growth corresponds to an inflationary universe. The scalar field equations give

$$6H^2 F_{AB} \phi_{B-} G_{AB} (\ddot{\phi}_{B-t-} 3H \dot{\phi}_{B}) = 0. \tag{2.40}$$

Contracting with  $\phi_A$  and solving the resulting equation gives

$$\phi_A = b_A e^{q(t-t_0)}, \qquad q = \frac{-3HG_0 \pm \sqrt{9H^2G_0^2 + 24H^2F_0G_0}}{2G_0}$$
 (2.41)

as a solution. '1'bus, for the small field approximation, the  $\phi$  may grow, decay, or oscillate.

If the  $\phi$  are large compared to the gravitational constant, then a power law ansatz for the growth of the scale factor and fields gives for the (00) equation

$$3p^{2}F_{0} - \frac{3^{2}}{2}GO + 6pqF_{0} \quad t^{2q-2} = 8\pi\rho_{0}t_{0}^{2q}. \tag{2,42}$$

Equating powers of time demands q = 1, so the fields grow linearly, and remain dominant over the GR term. Combining the field equations with the time independent part of the (00) equation gives two possibilities:

$$p = 0 \implies G_0 = -16\pi \rho_0 t_0^2$$

$$p = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{G_0}{4F_0} \implies \frac{15}{4}F_0 + \frac{7}{4}G_0 + \frac{3}{16}\frac{G_0^2}{F_0} = 8\pi \rho_0 t_0^2.$$
(2.43)

The first case gives a static universe, while the second has the power of expansion depending on the parameters of the theory. For p > 1, the second could give rise to extended type inflation [7] if the phase transition is first order, or soft inflation [8] if the potential is slowly rolling of either the new **or** chaotic type,

An exact solution can be found if the terms of power 2q-2 in the (00) equation cancel. In this case, equation (2.38) is again valid, and exponential solutions for the scale factor a(t) and the  $\phi$  are found. By using the (00) and  $\phi$  equations, these conditions can all consistently be met if Go =  $-4F_0$  and  $q = H(-3\pm\sqrt{3})/2$ . in fact, this exact solution is really a special case of our first approximation, when the terms ignored exact] y cancel.

In the inflationary scenario, the potential of a scalar field acts as an effective cosmological constant to drive the expansion. For Go >  $2F_0$ , equation (2.43) gives power law inflation. If the potential is of the new[12] or chaotic[1 3] type, then this solution is a generalization of the

soft inflationary scenario[8]. In soft inflation, modifications of Einstein gravity can remove the fine-tuning of potential parameters found in regular gravity. The same situation can arise here, with the added advantage of avoiding the solar system constraints.

More interesting is if the potential is of the old inflation[14] type, giving rise to a first order phase transition. Simple nonminimal coupling allows the phase transition to complete[7]. However, to avoid an overproduction of big bubbles of true phase, which would produce an excess of microwave background an isotropy, requires parameters which viol ate the solar system constraint. Our theory can avoid this problem, and can do it with parameters which exactly reproduce GR in the solar system regime, Unfortunately, as shown in the next section, the models which reproduce GR are unstable in the dust cra.

#### 3 Two Field Case

Studying the N field case has the advantage not only of being general, but also of allowing compact not at ion. However, the interrelationship of parameters in these models can become obscured. We next consider the simpler case of a two field model to better elucidate such features, which include some stability problems. The action for two fields, in analogy with equation (2.1), is

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( f(\phi, \psi) R - \frac{\omega}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 - \frac{\eta}{2} (\nabla \psi)^2 + 16\pi L_m \right), \tag{3.1}$$

$$f(\phi,\psi) = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} + h_1 \phi^2 + h_2 \psi^2 + h_3 \phi \psi. \tag{3.2}$$

A derivative cross term could also exist, but a proper rotation of the fields will eliminate this term, so we set it to O without loss of generality.

The solar system constraint, equation (2. I 5), now becomes

$$(h_3^2\omega + 4h_1^2\eta)\phi^2 + 4h_3(h_1\eta + h_2\omega)\phi\psi + (h_3^2\eta + 4h_2^2\omega)\psi^2 = 0,$$
(3.3)

which may be rewritten as

$$\eta(h_3\psi + 2h_1\phi)^2 + \omega(h_3\phi + 2h_2\psi)^2 = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore,  $\omega$  and  $\eta$  must be opposite signs, We choose  $\omega$  to be positive. Furthermore, by resealing the fields in the original action, these kinetic constants may be chosen to be  $\omega=1$ ,  $\eta=-1$ , without any loss of generality. Thus, one important fact clearly shown in the two field case is that multiple scalar tensor theories must have negative kinetic terms if they are to agree with post-Newtonian tests of general relativity.

From the relation (3.4), one can also see the interplay between the kinetic terms  $\omega$  and  $\eta$ , the coupling to gravity through the  $h_i$ , and the values of the fields themselves. Again, the asymptotic values of these fields are determined by cosmology, and specifically, by their evolution in the present dust era. We now study that evolution to derive further constraints on the parameters of the theory.

In a Robertson-Walker universe, the field equations become

$$(2h_1\phi + h_3\psi)(3\dot{H} + 6H^2) - (\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi}) = 0$$

$$(2h_2\psi + h_3\phi)(3\dot{H} + 6H^2) + (\ddot{\psi} - t - 3H\dot{\psi}) = 0.$$
(3.5)

Again, we make the assumption of power law behavior,

$$a(t) = d_c \frac{t}{tt_c}^p, \qquad \phi = b \left(\frac{t}{t_c}\right)^q, \qquad \psi = c \frac{t}{t_{cc}}.$$
 (3.6)

The field equations (3.5) then combine to give

$$h_3 = \frac{-2(h_1 + h_2)bc}{b^2 + c^2}$$
 (3.7)

Substituting this relationship back into the solar system constraint (3,2) implies

$$b = \pm c, \tag{3.8}$$

which also yields the relationship between the  $h_i$ 

$$h_3 = \mp (h_1 + h_2). \tag{3.9}$$

These conditions also mandate that  $f = 1/\kappa^2$  in the dust era, as noted earlier for N fields. The (00) and trace equations for the two field case become

$$3H^2f$$
 -t-  $3H\dot{f} - \frac{1}{2}(\dot{\phi}^2 - \dot{\psi}^2) = 8\pi\rho,$  (3.10)

$$(6\dot{H} + 12H^2)f + 3\ddot{f} + 9H\dot{f} + \dot{\phi}^2 - \dot{\psi}^2 = 8\pi(\rho - 3P), \tag{3.11}$$

respectively. As in the N field case, power law solutions exist. In dust, the universe will expand with power 2/3 just as in GR, and the same relation for the energy density, (2.29), still holds. The power q at which the fields evolve is

$$q = \frac{1}{2} \left( -1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{8}{3}(h_2 - h_1)} \right) \tag{3.12}$$

The solutions for two fields in radiation and cosmological constant dominated universes also proceed in analogous fashion, and are easily obtainable from the more general N field case by substituting the appropriate expressions for F and G.

## 4 Stability Analysis

While the above solutions are exact, a crucial aspect of the system's behavior is whether these solutions are stable. Only exceptionally fine-tulled solutions will start with exactly the initial conditions to fit the form of the above solutions. Further, any slight physical fluctuation can move the system away from the exact solutions. Only solutions which return to the exact behavior when deviating slightly will likely be realistic. We therefore examine the evolution of initially small perturbations about our power law solutions for the two field case in the current dust universe.

We start by writing the dust solutions for the case b=c,  $h_3=-\left(h_1+h_2\right)$  as

$$a(t) = a_0 \left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} [1 + \epsilon_1(t)],$$

$$\phi(t) = b \left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^{q} [1 + \epsilon_2(t)],$$

$$\psi(t) = c \frac{t}{t_0} \left[1 + \epsilon_3(t)\right],$$
(4.1)

where  $c_i \ll 1$  and q is given by equation (3. I 2) above. The scalar field equations then become

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{4h_1}{3} & -\frac{1}{q}(q + 1)\frac{\epsilon_2}{t^2} - 2(q+1)\frac{\epsilon_2}{t} - \ddot{c}_2 - \frac{2(h_1 + h_2)}{3}\frac{\epsilon_3}{t^2} + [8(h_1 - h_2) - 3q]\frac{\dot{\epsilon}_1}{t} + 3(h_1 - h_2)\ddot{c}_1 = 0, \\
-\frac{4h_2}{3} - q(q+1)\left|\frac{\epsilon_3}{t^2} - 2(q+1)\frac{\epsilon_3}{t} - \ddot{c}_3 + \frac{2(h_1 + h_2)}{3}\frac{\epsilon_2}{t^2} + [8(h_1 - h_2) - 3q]\frac{\epsilon_1}{t} + 3(h_1 - h_2)\ddot{c}_1 = 0, \\
(4.2)$$
(4.3)

while the trace and (00) equations give

$$\left[ (12q^{2} + 69 + \frac{4}{3})(h_{1} - h_{2}) + 2q^{2} \right] \frac{\epsilon_{2} - \epsilon_{3}}{t^{2}} + \left[ 6(2q + 1)(h_{1} - h_{2}) + 2q \right] \frac{\dot{\epsilon_{2}} - \dot{\epsilon_{3}}}{t} 
+ 3(h_{1} - h_{2})(\ddot{\epsilon_{2}} - \ddot{\epsilon_{3}}) + \frac{1}{b^{2}\kappa^{2}} \frac{t}{t_{0}} - \frac{t^{2}}{b^{2}} \frac{4\epsilon_{1}}{t^{2}} + \frac{16\dot{\epsilon_{1}}}{t} + \frac{16\dot{\epsilon_{1}}}{t} + \frac{6\ddot{\epsilon_{1}}}{t} = 0,$$
(4.4)

$$(6q^{3} + 7q^{2} + 2q)\frac{\epsilon_{2} - \epsilon_{3}}{t} + (3q^{2} - t 2q)(\dot{\epsilon_{2}} \&) + \frac{1}{b^{2}\kappa^{2}} \left(\frac{t'}{t_{0}}\right) \left(\frac{4\epsilon_{1}}{t} + 4\dot{\epsilon_{1}}\right) = 0.$$
 (4.5)

First consider the case of GR, with  $\phi = \psi = 0$ . The trace equation then gives

$$\frac{2}{t^2}\epsilon_1 + \frac{8}{t}\dot{\epsilon}_1 + 3\ddot{\epsilon}_1 = 0. {(4.6)}$$

This equation is solved by

$$\epsilon_1 = \frac{A_1}{t} + \frac{A_2}{t^{2/3}},\tag{4.7}$$

with Al and A<sub>2</sub> constant. The perturbation to the scale factor decays in time, and hence GR is stable, as expected.

Now, consider the perturbations of the scalar fields. Subtracting one scalar field equation from the other gives

$$\epsilon_{23}^{"} + \frac{2}{t}(q - t - 1)\epsilon_{23}^{"} = 0,$$
 (4.8)

where  $\epsilon_{23} \equiv \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3$  and equation (3,12) was used to remove the  $\epsilon_{23}$  term. This equation is solved by

$$\epsilon_{23} = Bt^{-2q-1} = Bt^{\pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{8}{3}(h_2 - h_1)}} \qquad q \neq -\frac{1}{2}, 
\epsilon_{23} = B \ln t \qquad q = -\frac{1}{2},$$
(4.9)

with B a constant. For the  $q = -\frac{1}{2}$  case the perturbation grows logarithmically, and the scalar fields are unstable. When  $q \neq -\frac{1}{2}$  there are two roots, one of which grows in time with positive power. In general, the scalar fields will pick up a combination of these two roots, with coefficients depending on initial conditions in the dust era. Thus, the perturbation  $\epsilon_{23}$  will generally have a component which grows in time, and hence the scalar fields are unstable.

That the solutions are unstable is not a surprise, for one of the fields has a negative kinetic term. Such kinetic terms cause instability by allowing for infinitely many negative energy states when the system is quantized. They also can lead to the classical instability observed here. In addition, our power law solutions include the case where the fields are zero far from the solar system, which are the values used in other work [3], so that these values too

may be unstable to perturbations as the universe evolves. Hence, while multiple ST theories can satisfy the solar system constraints, the requisite values will be unstable, and hence are unlikely to actually occur.

'1'here are possible modifications to evade this instability. One of the motivations for multiple ST theories is that they arise in string theories and other models which attempt to incorporate gravity with the other forces. The action used here may be just an approximation of the full theory, whose other terms stabilize the evolution. An analogy would be quantum mechanics preventing the decay of an electron in orbit around a nucleus. Or, on a classical level, a mass or potential term for the scalars could freeze the  $\phi$  at some minimum, thus preventing the runaway growth of small perturbations. Any such mollification would have to be examined in more detail to see if stability arises. However, given the problems associated with negative kinetic terms, multiple ST theories that are comsistent with GR in the weak-field limit seem to be unstable.

#### 5 Conclusion

Multiple scalar ST theories offer the possibility of providing a new theoretical framework in which to test GR. Because such theories can mimic GR in the solar system, where regular BD theories are severely limited, but yield substantially different results in other regimes, they are especial] y valuable, Our results complement previous work, which used zero values for the scalar fields far from massive compact objects. We have found time evolving solutions for the scalars which are consistent with both solar system tests of GR and the cosmological field equations. If these solutions can be stabilized by some means such as a potential term or a more complete understanding of the quantum physics at the Planck scale, then they will be a useful probe of GR. However, without such stabilization, the only way to make these theories agree with GR in the solar system is to choose constants that make the theory approximate GR not only in the post-Newtonian approximation, but also in other regimes. This situation is in analogy with the single-scalar JBI) theory, and going to multiple scalars would have no advantage.

Finally, we note that our cosmological solution in the cosmological constant dominated regime exhibits power law growth when the fields dominate, as seen in equation (2.43). For power greater than 1, this yields inflation, The extended inflation scenario[7] used the J BD theory to create power law inflation, in which case the first order phase driving inflation would eventually complete, in contrast with the GR case. However, the JBD theory was inadequate, because values of the JBD constant which met the solar system constraint produced too many big bubbles, and thus an inhomogeneous universe[1 O]. One might hope to use multiple scalar ST theories to give both power law inflation as well as meeting both the solar system constraint and the big bubble constraint. However, this scenario will not likely occur, as the dust values of the fields are unstable. Should a stabilizing mechanism be found, then further investigation of the inflationary scenario in these models would be warranted.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Kirk Brattkus, Kei-ichi Macda, Larry Remans, Bahman Shahid-Saless, and Eric Woolgar for useful conversations. ALB acknowledges support from the National Research Council. The research described in this publication was carried out by the Jet 1'repulsion laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

#### References

- [1] P. Jordan, Z.Phys. 1 57,112 (1959); C.Brans and R.H.Dicke, Phys. Rev. 24, 925 (1961).
- [2] R.D. Reasenberg et al, Astrophys. J. 234, L219 (1979).
- [3] J.H. Taylor, A. Wolszczan, T. Damour, and J.M. Weisberg, Nature 355, 132 (1992);
  T. Damour and J.H. Taylor, Phys. Rev. D45, 1840 (1 992);
  T. Damour and G. Esposito-Farese, Class. Quant. Grav. 9, 2093 (1 992).
- [4] K. Maeda, Class. Quant. Grav. 3, 233 (1986); 3, 651 (1986).
- [5] M.B. Green, J.H. Schwartz, and E. Witten, Superstring Theory (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987).
- [6] R. Brandenberger, Rev. Mod. Phys., 1 (1985);
  A. Linde, Rep. Prog. Phys. 47, 925 (1984);
  M.S. Turner, in the Proceedings of the Cargèse School on Fundamental Physics and Cosmology, ed. by J. Audouze and J. Tran Thanh Van (Gif-sur-Yvette, 1985);
  K. Olive, Phys. Rep. 190, 309 (1990).
- [7] D. La and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 376 (1989); Phys. Lett. 220B, 375 (1989).
- [8] A. L. Berkin, K. Maeda, and J. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 141 (1990); A. L. Berkin and K. Maeda, Phys. Rev. D44, 1691 (1991),
- [9] Smoot et al, Astrophys. J. 396, L1 (1992),
- [10] D.La, P.J. Steinhardt and E.W. Bertschinger, Phys. Lett. 231B, 231 (1989);
   E.J. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D40, 3950 (1989).
- [11] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne, and J.A. Wheeler, Gravitation (Freeman, San Francisco, 1973),
- [12] A. Albrecht and P.J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 1220 (1982);
   A.D. Linde, Phys. Lett. 108E3, 389 (1982).
- [13] A.D. Linde, Phys. Lett. 108B, 389 (1982).
- [14] A.H. Guth, Phys. Rev. **D23**, 347 (1981).